Conference Proposal Rubric: Success Stories, Discussion Sessions, & Research Spotlights

Directions

Provide your name and the proposal number/title, assign points for each criterion, and total the points at the bottom of the sheet. Please add the score and any additional comments on the spreadsheet.

Proposal Name:	
Proposal Presenter:	Reviewer:

Criteria Table

CRITERIA (POINTS POSSIBLE)	MEETS OR EXCEEDS CRITERIA (12 POINTS)	ACCEPTABLE (8 POINTS)	NOT ACCEPTABLE (6 OR 0 POINTS)	POINTS
Relevance to the conference mission: Is the connection to the three objectives of the Student Success Pillar evident?	This presentation is directly targeted to address one of the priorities within the Student Success Pillar of the AMP and all elements relate to student success as defined in the AMP report.	This presentation addresses student success generally, though its connection to specific objectives within the Student Success Pillar of the AMP may be somewhat ambiguous.	This presentation does not directly address any topics that would be of relevance to the Student Success Pillar of the AMP.	
Relevance to the audience: Will this content be relevant to the majority of the audience, which consists of professionals across student success offices and faculty.	This presentation would be useful for all audience members, including practitioners across Student Affairs, Undergraduate Education, Enrollment Management, and faculty.	This presentation would be relevant for a large percentage of practitioners across at least two divisions.	This presentation would only be relevant to a limited audience, which does not make up a large percentage of the conference attendees.	
CRITERIA (POINTS POSSIBLE)	MEETS OR EXCEEDS CRITERIA (9 POINTS)	ACCEPTABLE (6 POINTS)	NOT ACCEPTABLE (1 OR 0 POINTS)	POINTS

Nuanced contributions to the field of student success or to the RU community of practitioners: Will this presentation provide a nuanced perspective on a topic, a localized specific example of best practices within the field, or firsthand research related to student success as defined in the AMP?	The proposal will provide a nuanced or localized perspective on known best practices that can contribute to the larger national discussion of practices supporting student success.	The proposal provides an example of implementing known best practices, though the information proposed is not particularly new or nuanced.	The proposal references concepts that are outdated or disproved, or that are in opposition to known best practices, without providing explanation for the continued use of these concepts despite their lack of support in the field.	
Audience Take-aways: Are there clearly defined tools, practices, or resources shared that can be implemented by audience members?	Audience Take-aways for participants are clear and practical.	Audience Take-aways participants are somewhat clear.	Audience Take-aways for participants are not clear.	
Foundation & expertise: Is the proposal grounded in established theory, known best practices, and/or sound research methods?	The knowledge of the presenter(s) about this topic is extensive and proposed ideas and/or results are well-founded.	The knowledge of the presenter(s) about this topic seems limited.	The knowledge of the presenter(s) about this topic is not apparent.	
CRITERIA (POINTS POSSIBLE)	MEETS OR EXCEEDS CRITERIA (4 POINTS)	ACCEPTABLE (2 POINTS)	NOT ACCEPTABLE (0 POINTS)	Points
Organization of ideas: The proposal is well-organized, with a structure that will be easy for participants to follow and grasp. The content fits well within the proposed format.	The presentation description is well organized. The content meets the time requirements for a presentation or would provide adequate visual content for a poster.	The presentation description is somewhat organized though some connections may be unclear. The timing of the presentation or the amount of visual content for a poster may be unclear or seem overly dense or not dense enough.	The presentation description is not organized. The proposal does not seem to fit the proposed form adequately.	
			TOTAL (55 POINTS POSSIBLE)	

Reviewer Responses

- 1. Describe any concerns, reservations, or questions you have regarding this proposal.
- 2. Your overall review for this proposal (choose one):
 - a. Accept as is
 - b. Accept conditionally
 - c. Do not accept
- 3. Additional comments for the proposer: